### NAA FISHERIES 58

### FISHERY SURVEY OF THE DONIFORD STREAM 1994

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This fishery survey of the Doniford Stream was undertaken during September 1994.
- 1.2 The river was last surveyed in November 1983 when a number of sea trout were found in the lower reaches of both the Doniford Stream and its major tributary the Monksilver Stream or River Willet. In this latest survey two new sites were added to represent the headwaters and the number of sites downstream was somewhat reduced.
- 1.3 It was hoped that sea trout would have entered the system before the survey took place. A very dry autumn resulted in very low flows and no sea trout were found at some of the sites where they were expected. Survey of two sites was therefore delayed until flows increased. In the event when the rain came it was unceasing and the sites became unfishable. A quick visit to one site did reveal two sea trout which had entered the river as expected as soon as flows increased.

### 2. TOPOGRAPHY AND GEOLOGY

- 2.1 The catchment consists of a central area of low ground with high plateaux on each side. On the east is the scarp and plateau of the Quantock hills, composed of very hard Devonian gritstone (Trentishoe Grits) which is quarried for road stone at Triscombe. On the west are the Brendon hills, deeply dissected by the Monksilver Stream and consisting of hard Devonian slates (Morte slates and Ilfracombe Beds, the latter with a few limestone beds).
- 2.2 The central area of low ground, traversed by the Doniford Stream itself, is occupied by younger and softer Permo-Triassic strata. The Wiveliscombe Sandstones and Vexford Breccias form a lower aquifer and the Budleigh Salterton Pebble Beds and Otter Sandstone comprise an upper aquifer. Between these two aquifers lies the impermeable Littleham Mudstone and above them the equally impermeable Mercia Mudstone.
- 2.3 The sandstones in particular store large quantities of groundwater which provides valuable base flow to the streams in summer, arising from numerous small springs. All the Permo-Triassic strata occupy a rift valley or "graben" which was downthrown by the same earth movements that raised the Quantock and Brendon Hills.

### 3. FLOW AND ABSTRACTION

3.1 A gauging station at Swill Bridge provides flow data for the Doniford Stream. Records indicate an average daily flow of 1.0 cumecs. Further details on the available statistics are included as Appendix 3.

3.2 There have been three significant licences granted for abstraction from surface waters since 1983. One licence involves the impoundment of a small tributary very close to the spring head. The other two licences relate to the main Doniford Stream and are both subject to a low flow condition.

#### 4. WATER QUALITY

- 4.1 Chemical water quality as shown in the 1993 General Quality Assessment is measured at four sites on the Doniford Stream and two on the Monksilver Stream. The quality at five of the sites is in classes A or B "Good". One site which represents the main section of the Doniford Stream is in Class C "Fair" with BOD the class limiting criterion. Dissolved oxygen levels at all sites is within the class A category.
- 4.2 Biological water quality is assessed at two sites on the Doniford and one on the Monksilver. The most recent available results are from 1991/2. At both Doniford sites biological quality was good and very close to that predicted from the physical habitat using the RIVPACS model. On the Monksilver Stream the most recent results showed a slight deterioration on the previous year and fell short of the RIVPACS prediction.
- 4.3 The Doniford Stream is designated under the EEC Freshwater Fish Directive as "salmonid" from Flaxpool to the sea and the Monksilver is designated "salmonid" from Birds Hill to the Doniford confluence.
- 4.4 Since the 1983 survey Triscombe Quarry, on the headwaters of the Flaxpool tributary, has reopened subject to strict discharge consent conditions. Although there have been minor problems the impact is generally minimal.
- 4.5 There have been no significant water quality problems on the Doniford Stream in the last two years.

### 5. FISHERY SURVEY METHOD

- 5.1 New sample sites were chosen by dividing the watercourse into two kilometre lengths within each of which a one hundred metre length was selected using random numbers. Where survey sites existed in 1983 a sample site was selected to represent each two kilometre reach. Appendix 2 lists the sample sites with their grid references. The location of all sample sites is shown on the map, Appendix 1.
- 5.2 All the sites were electric fished by wading using pulsed DC equipment operating at 600 cycles per second. Sites were always fished upstream and were isolated using stop nets.

APPENDIX 2

APPENDIX 1



- 5.3 All fish over 10 centimetres and many under that size were measured and weighed. Samples of scales were also taken from many fish for future examination. Where there were large numbers of small fish they were sorted by species and counted and weighed in bulk.
- 5.4 Population estimates of larger fish were obtained where possible by three repeated fishings (runs) using a declining catch method at all sites.
- RESULTS 6.
- Figures 1 and 2 show respectively the biomass and population 6.1 FIGURES 1 & 2 of all fish over 10 centimetres in length caught at each site. Each bar on Figures 1 and 2 is subdivided to indicate the species composition. Each species is indicated by a consistent colour and shading style.
- 6.2 Figures 3 and 4 show respectively the biomass and population of all fish under 10 centimetres in length caught at each site including those that were counted and weighed in bulk.
- 6.3 Figure 5 shows the length frequency of brown trout for both the Doniford Stream itself and the Monksilver Stream.
- FIGURE 6 6.4 Figure 6 shows a comparison of fish densities obtained in 1983 compared with 1994 at five sites which were fished on both occasions. Stream widths were not measured in 1983 and the 1994 width figures have been used to provide the best estimate in calculating the 1983 site areas.
- 6.5 Actual results used to derive the figures are included within Appendix 2. APPENDIX 2

#### DISCUSSION 7.

- 7.1 Where sites coincided with those fished during 1983 brown trout numbers found in the 1994 survey are similar to those obtained in 1983 (Figure 6). The apparent exception is at Leigh, DO1B. Here 100 metres were fished in 1994 but only 30 metres in 1983. There is only one large pool in the middle of the site and it is likely that the 1983 survey did not include two long riffles where few fish were caught in 1994.
- 7.2 As the Doniford Stream system is used by sea trout some of the small brown trout are likely to be sea trout parr especially in the lower reaches.
- The length frequency of brown trout (Figure 5) suggests that 7.3 the number of trout of the year is small in 1994. The figures may be biased as there are numerous small nursery tributaries which were not surveyed. The sites at Pit Lane and Pond Wood are probably representative of this habitat.
- 7.4 Some five years ago the main Doniford Stream was seriously polluted with slurry and there was some limited restocking of

FIGURES 3 & 4

FIGURE 5

FIGURE 6

FIGURE 5

brown trout after the stream quality improved. This survey suggests that the stream has fully recovered with good numbers of trout and the associated minor species in the affected reaches.

- 7.5 This survey has confirmed that sea trout are unlikely to be present in this system unless flows have increased as a result of autumn or early winter rain. It emphasises the need for a full discharge to tidal waters to provide the necessary attraction.
- 7.6 It was disappointing to note that significant tree planting at Orchard Wyndham between the two survey dates has not obviously improved the density of resident trout at least within the surveyed reach.
- 7.7 One or two rainbow trout were found at each Monksilver site in 1983. In 1994 there were similar numbers at Orchard Wyndham, MOID, with a big increase at Catwell House, MOIE (Figure 2). It seems likely that some of these fish are escapees from the fish farm at Combe Sydenham. There is inevitably competition for space with native trout and it would obviously be beneficial if the amount of rainbows escaping could be reduced.

### 8. CONCLUSIONS

- 8.1 The Doniford system is an important natural trout fishery with good numbers of brown trout and a run of sea trout. There are also good stocks of eels and bullheads with brook . lampreys present at some sites.
- 8.2 More information is needed on the behaviour of sea trout in the West Somerset streams so that habitat and discharge features of importance can be identified and safeguarded.
- 8.3 A mechanism for identifying important streams for fisheries needs to be developed given that in many instances EEC Freshwater Fish designation would introduce unrealistic demands for water sampling.
- 8.4 The apparently consistent stock densities between the two surveys in 1983 and 1994 emphasises the likely effectiveness on the Doniford of systems of prediction based on habitat such as Habscore.
- 8.5 The source of rainbows on the Monksilver needs to be identified with a view to reducing the numbers present and allowing more space for native fish.

FIGURE 2

# DONIFORD STREAM CATCHMENT 1994 BIOMASS OF ALL FISH > 10 cm LENGTH

## **BIOMASS (gms per 100 square metres)**



FIGURE

## DONIFORD STREAM CATCHMENT 1994 DENSITY OF ALL FISH > 10 cm LENGTH

### **DENSITY (numbers per 100 square metres)**





## DONIFORD STREAM CATCHMENT 1994 BIOMASS OF ALL FISH < 10 cm LENGTH

## **BIOMASS (gms per 100 square metres)**





# DONIFORD STREAM CATCHMENT 1994 DENSITY OF ALL FISH < 10 cm LENGTH

## **DENSITY (numbers per 100 square metres)**











SPECIES	EST POPULATION >CUTOFF	BIOMASS>CUTOFF gms/100m2	DENSITY>CUTOFF per 100m2	POPULATION METHOD	PROBABILITY OF CAPTURE	BIOMASS <cutoff gms/100m2</cutoff 	DENSITY <cutoff per 100m2</cutoff 	MEAN WEIGHT (gms)	MEAN CONDITION FACTOR
DN1A	PIT LANE ST114347 13/9/94	L							
BH	0	0	0	MIN EST	0	57.00	10.00		
BT	1	114.71	0.59	C & S	1.00	33.35	5.29		
EE	1	47.65	0.59	C&S	0.50	0	0		
TOTALS		162.35	1.18			90.35	15.29		
DN1B	LEIGH ST117360 13/9/94_						_		
вн	0	0	0	MIN EST	0	24.77	7,74		
ат	37	1170.87	11.94	C & S	0.68	3.23	0.32	98.10	1.17
EE	65	1211.94	20.97	C&S	0.33	0	0	57.80	0.16
53	D	0	0	MIN EST	0	1.28	0.97		
TOTALS		2382.81	32,90			29.26	9.03		
									4
DN1C	KINGSWOOD 8T105379 21	9/94							
BH	0	0	0	MIN EST	0	58.15	24.07		
BT	27	618.00	10.00	C&S	0.79	32.00	3.33	81.80	1.24
EE	33	777.33	12.22	C&S	0.53	0	0	63.60	0.18
LA	î	1.11	0.37	C&S	0.33	0	0		
TOTALS		1598.44	22.59	<u> </u>		90.15	27.41		
DN1D	CURDON MILL ST100390 21	9/9/94					=		
BH	0	0	0	MINEST	0	131.47	46.84		
BT	83	2381.13	21.84	C&S	0.90	11.37	1.05	108.10	1.17
EE	44	995.79	11.58	C&S	0.68	0	0	86.00	0.20
LA	1	2.89	0.26	C&S	0.50	0	0		
MI	0	0	0	MINEST	0	14.95	2.11		
TOTALS		3359.82	33.68			157.79	50.00		

•

ł

•

•

.

-

APPENDIX 2

.

-

.

4

\$PECIES	EST POPULATION >CUTOFF	BIOMASS>CUTOFF gms/100m2	DENSITY>CUTOFF per 100m2	POPULATION METHOD	PROBABILITY OF CAPTURE	BIOMASS <cutoff gms/100m2</cutoff 	DENSITY <cutoff per 100m2</cutoff 	MEAN WEIGHT (gms)	MEAN CONDITION FACTOR
MOTB	POND WOOD ST070360 11	/10/94							
BH	0	0	0	MIN EST	0	50.76	21.43		
BT	21	490.00	10,00	C& S	0.68	40.24	6.19	49.00	1.07
TOTALS		490.00	10.00		2-2-2	91.00	27.62		
MO1C	HOUSEPIECE COPSE 8706	1387 4/10/94			٢		*		
BH	0	0	0	MIN EST	0	106.00	32.73		
BT	9	133.64	2.73	C&S	0.75	0	0	49.00	1.26
EE	14	264.30	4.24	C&S	0.52	0	0	62.30	0.17
LA	1	0	0.30	C&S	0.33	0	0		
<u>RT</u>	1	25.45	0.30	C&S	1.00	0	0		
TOTALS		423,39	7.58			106.00	32.73		
MOID	ORCHARD WYNDHAM STO	70402 5/10/94			_				
BH	1	0	0.36	C&S	0.50	38.14	7.86		
BT	18	518.14	6.43	C&S	0.86	7.64	0.71	80.60	1.17
EE	39	795.32	13.93	C&S	0,55	0	0	57.10	0.16
LA	0	0	0	C&S	0	0	0.36		
RT	2	85.00	0.71	C&S	1.00	0	0		
TOTALS		1398.45	21.07			43.79	8.93		
MOTE	DIS CATWELL HOUSE STO	82410 25/10/94							
BH	0	0	0	MINEST	0	36.75	13.13		
BT	29	1041.28	9.06	C&S	0.78	3.13	0.31	114.90	1.27
EE	44	1117.88	13.75	C&S	0.21	0	0	81.30	0.17
LA	1	3.44	0.31	C&S	1,00	0	0		
RT	11	633.53	3.44	C&S	0.92	0	0	184.30	1.16
SL	2	10.63	0.63	C&S	0.33	1.88	0.63		
TOTALS		2806.75	27.19			41.75	14.06		
			1.4					4	
					÷			10 A	

1

.



	fell	er nu rain- ↓ fall	1960s 1970s	****	*****
11 delly, ell pecke		•	1980s	AAAEe	84448
11 delly, some peaks	в	ь	1990s	88	
11 delly, so peeks	¢	¢			
ome daily, ell peeks	D	đ			
owe delly, some peak:	8 E	•			
iome delly, ne pesks	F	Ŧ			
a souged flow date		-			

31 .hut 1991