National Rivers Authority

Environmental Consultancy Support Exe/Axe Water Resources

Comparison of Ecological Data

February 1993

(Document Reference: K1;



WS/Atkins

WS Atkins Water

DOCUMENT CONTROL SHEET

a division of WS Alkins Consultants Umited Curzon House Southernhay West Exeter EX1 1JG

Client: National Rivers Authority

Project: Environmental Consultancy Support

Exe/Axe Water Resources

Title: COMPARISON OF ECOLOGICAL DATA

Date: February 1993

Copy No O3

Copy Holder NRA

				To part I	. 7 2	
2	Client's Information	J. Wash.	H.L.Westbrau	M.Chambers	SRace	01/03/93
1-D3	Draft for Review	J Wright	SR Crewe			
1-D2	Revised Draft	JM Wright	HL Westbrook			08/01/93
1-D1	Preliminary Draft	P Ward				
Issue	Purpose	Originated	Chacked	Reviewed	Authorized	Date

CONTENTS

			Page No
0.	SUM	MARY	1
1.	INTR	ODUCTION	2
	1.1	Background	2
	1.2	Terms of Reference	2 2 2
	1.3	Available Data	2
2.	THE	DATA	3
	2.1	Data Limitations	3
	2.2	Comparisons at Species Level	3 3 6
	2.3	Comparison at Family, BMWP and ASPT Scores Level	6
3.	CON	CLUSIONS	13
4.	REC	OMMENDATIONS	14

0. SUMMARY

The National Rivers Authority (NRA) and South West Water Services Ltd (SWWSL) invertebrate surveys undertaken in the River Axe during the summer and autumn of 1992 are not strictly comparable. Of the total of twelve sample sites investigated, five in the NRA survey and seven in the SWWSL survey, only four are close to each other: Upper Bruckland, Lower Bruckland, Whitford Bridge and Nunford Dairy. However, the locations of these four sites are different in the two surveys so that the NRA and SWWSL Higher Bruckland sites are over 300 m apart.

In addition to the problems due to differing sample sites, additional sources of difference between the two surveys relates to the valid identification of species and families and slightly different times of sampling.

The total number of species recorded in the two surveys at the four geographically similar sites is broadly similar except at Upper Bruckland. This difference may be due to the 300 m difference in location in the NRA survey compared to the SWWSL survey.

Despite the overall similarity in numbers of species recorded there are marked differences in the kinds of species recorded at each location. These differences are reflected in the numbers of families, BMWP and ASPT scores at each location. It is not possible to isolate the causes of the differences between the surveys from different sample sites and inconsistent identification of species and different sample times.

The differences between the two surveys can be primarily ascribed to the different habitats sampled at the slightly different locations of the sample sites. The differences between the surveys are less than the differences within surveys due to seasonal effects when comparing summer with autumn.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

South West Water Services Ltd (SWWSL) has applied to the National Rivers Authority (NRA) for a licence to abstract an increased quantity of water from the River Axe at Whitford Bridge. The NRA has retained WS Atkins to undertake a review of available information relating to the proposed abstraction. This review is presently being undertaken.

In order to evaluate the impact on the proposed abstraction licence both the NRA and SWWSL have undertaken ecological surveys of the River Axe. This report provides a comparison of the NRA¹ and SWWSL² data sets.

1.2 TERMS OF REFERENCE

In a letter of 20 November (ref: JMBL369) the NRA requested that the SWWSL data set be compared and contrasted with the NRA data set. It was noted that SWWSL's survey might identify more species but that the NRA survey was the result of a more intensive sampling regime.

1.3 AVAILABLE DATA

1.3.1 NRA River Axe Water Resources Scheme. Macroinvertebrate Study

This survey consisted of five sampling sites; two on the Bruckland Stream and three on the River Axe. Two separate surveys of these sites were undertaken in the summer and autumn of 1992. A mixture of three sampling methodologies was employed at each site: a single three minute kick where possible with one minute search, up to five active quantitative Aston 0.05 m² cylinder two minute samples and a thirty minute search. Samples were preserved in 10% formalin and identified to lowest practical taxonomic level. These data were presented in summary form as BMWP and ASPT scores and as number of families per site and species in each sample.

1.3.2 SWWSL Axe Valley Water Resources Scheme. Macroinvertebrate Study

This survey consisted of seven sampling sites; four on the Bruckland Stream and three on the River Axe. Two separate surveys of these sites were undertaken in the summer and autumn of 1992. A mixture of two sampling methodologies was employed at each site: a single three minute kick and one minute search combined followed by three, two minute 0.05 m² active cylinder samples. Species were identified to the lowest practical taxonomic level. These data were presented in summary form as BMWP and ASPT scores and species in each sample.

River Axe Water Resources Scheme. Biological Study; Macroinvertebrate Study.

Axe Valley Water Resource Scheme: Summer and Autumn 1992 (NRA reference RP-PCA-1328AO-100(01)).

2. THE DATA

2.1 DATA LIMITATIONS

2.1.1 Site Locations

Of the total of twelve sites investigated in the two surveys, four from both surveys can be considered to be comparable.

SWWSL		NRA	
Location	NGR	Location	NGR
Reservoir Higher Bruckland Farm Haye Farm Lower Bruckland U/S Whitford Bridge Whitford Bridge Nunford Footbridge	SY 2890 9375 SY 2845 9340 SY 2765 9290 SY 2707 9295 SY 2650 9560 SY 2625 9540 SY 2615 9475	Upper Bruckland Lower Bruckland Whitford Bridge Nunford Dairy Axe Bridge	SY 2867 9359 SY 2705 9295 SY 2645 9555 SY 2611 9463 SY 2593 9265

The comparable sites, using NRA terminology, are: Upper Bruckland, Lower Bruckland, Whitford Bridge and Nunford Dairy.

2.1.2 Sampling Methodology

A total of three sampling methods were used of which two methods, active cylinder sampling and kick sampling, are comparable. The NRA, but not SWWSL, employed a thirty minute search at each site.

2.1.3 Date of Sampling

The two surveys were not undertaken on the same dates during the summer and autumn. This would be expected to result in noticeable differences in the two surveys due to the short life cycles of the invertebrates under consideration.

2.2 COMPARISON AT SPECIES LEVEL

2.2.1 Species Numbers

The number of species recorded at each comparable site in both summer and autumn using the three methodologies is presented below.

Number of species recorded at each comparable site using different sampling techniques:

Whitford Bridge Summer 1992	NRA	SWWSL
3 min kick 3 min kick plus 3 cores 3 min kick plus 5 cores 30 min search	47 50 (+3) 56 (+9) 67 (+20)	37 59 (+22) - -
Autumn 1992		
3 min kick 3 min kick plus 3 cores 3 min kick plus 5 cores 30 min search	41 51 (+10) 65 (+24) 77 (+36)	45 62 (+17) - -
Nunford Dairy Summer 1992	NRA	SWWSL
3 min kick 3 min kick plus 3 cores 3 min kick plus 5 cores 30 min search	44 53 (+9) 55 (+11) 64 (+20)	46 61 (+15) -
Autumn 1992		
3 min kick 3 min kick plus 3 cores 3 min kick plus 5 cores 30 min search	36 45 (+9) 51 (+15) 64 (+28)	37 60 (+23) - -
Upper Bruckland Summer 1992	NRA	SWWSL
3 min kick 3 min kick plus 3 cores 3 min kick plus 5 cores 30 min search	25 30 (+5) 32 (+7) 35 (+10)	34 46 (+12) - -
Autumn 1992		
3 min kick 3 min kick plus 3 cores 3 min kick plus 5 cores 30 min search	19 25 (+6) 27 (+8) 36 (+17)	28 43 (+15) - -

Lower Bruckland Summer 1992	NRA	SWWSL
3 min kick	22	37
3 min kick plus 3 cores	32 (+10)	44 (+7)
3 min kick plus 5 cores	38 (+16)	1 - 0
30 min search	48 (+26)	•
Autumn 1992		
3 min kick	3 9	30
3 min kick plus 3 cores	47 (+8)	44 (+14)
3 min kick plus 5 cores	48 (+9)	-
30 min search	52 (+13)	-

The three minute kick sample combined with a one minute search used by SWWSL generally resulted in more species being recorded than the three minute kick and one minute search employed by the NRA.

When the active cylinder samples were taken into account, that is the number of species from three kicks and three cylinder samples, this trend was more pronounced with more species recorded by SWWSL.

When all the five cylinder samples collected by the NRA are taken into account, the NRA survey consistently contained fewer species when compared to the SWWSL survey.

Finally when the thirty minute search undertaken by the NRA, but not by SWWSL, is included in the species counts, then the number of species is typically higher for the NRA survey.

When all sampling methods are taken into account the total number of species identified by NRA is of the same order but slightly more than that identified by SWWSL. These differences between the two surveys are a reflection of a number of variables including slightly different sample locations, different sample dates, the opinions of different taxonomic experts and finally real differences between surveys.

Main invertebrate differences in samples taken by the NRA and SWWSL

Species which appear to be under recorded by NRA / over recorded by SWWSL:

Baetis rhodani
Baetis scambus
Brachycentrus subnubilus
Simulium sp
Hydrocarina (not recorded by NRA)
Asellus sp
Calopteryx splendens (not recorded by NRA)
Bithynia tentaculata
Sigara dorsalis
Velia caprai (not recorded by NRA)

Species which appear to be under recorded by SWW / over recorded by NRA:

Ephemerella ignita (in River Axe samples)
Cheumatopsyche lepida
Hydropsyche contubernalis
Limnius volckmari
Hydropsche angustipennis
Hydropsche siltatai
Ecdyonurus sp
Elmis aenea

The NRA survey indicates that the most abundant caddis larvae in the Axe and Bruckland Stream are *Hydropsyche sp* and *Cheumatopsyche lepida*, whereas SWWSL found *Brachycentrus subnubilus* most abundant in their survey work. The NRA samples contained mayflies *Ephemerella ignita* and *Ecdyonurus sp* in greater quantities than SWWSL, whose samples contained larger numbers of *Baetis rhodani* and *Baetis scambus*. Beetles (*Elmis sp* and *Limius sp*) are recorded in greater numbers by the NRA, whereas the water beetles *Velia caprai* and *Sigara dorsalis* are more abundant in the SWWSL samples. Other species recorded as being more abundant by SWWSL are *Simulium sp*, the dragonfly larva *Calopteryx splendens*, the mollusc *Bithynia sp* and the crustacean *Asellus sp*.

These differences may be actual differences or errors of identification. It is not possible to define which of the two sources of difference is the more important.

2.3 COMPARISON AT FAMILY, BMWP AND ASPT SCORES LEVEL

2.3.1 Family Level

A comparison of numbers of families recorded at each location was undertaken by back calculating the number of families at each SWWSL site from the BMWP and ASPT scores.

No	Ωf	Familie	e /kick	sample)
NU	OI.	rannie	SIKICK	sambiei

	NRA	SWWSL
Whitford Bridge Summer 1992	32	27
Autumn 1992	30	27
Nunford Dairy Summer 1992	33	33
Autumn 1992	26	30
Upper Bruckland Summer 1992	24	23
Autumn 1992	23	19

Lower Bruckland Summer 1992	27	23
Autumn 1992	33	20

The number of families recorded in the kick samples for the NRA survey was generally higher than for the SWWSL survey.

As for the species composition (Section 2.2.2) it is not possible to ascribe this source of difference to either an actual difference or an error in identification.

2.3.2 BMWP and ASPT Scores

(a) All Sites

The BMWP and ASPT scores as derived from the kick samples are presented below:

BWMP and ASPT Scores (from kick samples)

		NRA	SWWSL
Whitford Brid	qe		
Summer	BMWP ASPT	190 5.94	150 5.56
Autumn	BMWP ASPT	178 5.17	145 5. 3 7
Nunford Dairy	1	NRA	SWWSL
Summer	BMWP	189	180
	ASPT	5.73	5.46
Autumn	BMWP	128	159
	ASPT	4.92	5.3
Higher Bruck!	land	NRA	SWWSL
Summer	BMWP	146	142
	ASPT	6.08	6.17
Autumn	BMWP	138	109
	ASPT	6.0	5.74
Lower Bruckla	and	NRA	SWWSL
Summer	BMWP	146	133
	ASPT	5.41	5 .70
Autumn	BMWP	188	118
	ASPT	5.7	5.9

The scores for both BMWP and ASPT vary between the NRA and the SWWSL surveys. Each of the locations are discussed below.

- (b) Individual Sites
- (i) Whitford Bridge

The summer BMWP score for the NRA sample is higher at 190 than the SWWSL score of 150, although there is little difference in the ASPT (5.94 and 5.56 respectively). The autumn BMWP score was also higher for the NRA sample (178 and 145), but the ASPT was similar. The two samples compared are the NRA sample at 300 m upstream of Whitford Bridge, and the SWWSL sample 400 m upstream of Whitford Bridge.

The summer kick samples showed the following main differences:

	NRA	SWWSL
Gyraulus albus	None	Abundant (51-100)
Sphaerium sp	328	Common (11-50)
Gammarus pulex	None	Abundant (51-100)
Baetis buceratus	27	None
Baetis rhodani	None	Abundant (51-100)
Ephemerella ignita	816	Common (11-50)
Leuctra fusca	11	Abundant (51-100)
Cheumatopsyche lepida	92	None
Hydropsyche contubernalis	57	None
Brachycentrus subnubilus	7	Abundant (51-100)
Simulium ornatum sp	None	Abundant (51-100)

Smaller differences include:

	NRA	SWWSL
Valvata piscinalis	None	Common (11-50)
Potamopyrgus jenkinsi	15	None
Oligochaeta	None	Common (11-50)
Hydracarina	None	Occasional (4-10)
Asellus aquaticus	None	Common (11-50)
Baetis muticus	None	Common (11-50)
Leuctra geniculata	136	Common (11~50)
Calopteryx splendens	None	Common (11-50)
Limnius volckmari	45	None
Oulimnius tuberculatus	19	None
Hydroptila sp	20	None
Hydropsyche angustipennis	22	None
Althripsodes cinereus	29	None
Simulium angustitarse	9	None

Main differences in invertebrates in the autumn samples are:

	NRA	SWWSL
Bithynia tentaculata	2	Abundant (51-100)
Lymnaea peregra	2	Abundant (51-100)
Sphaerium sp	None	Very abundant (>100)
Asellus aquaticus	7	Abundant (51-100)
Baetis rhodani	None	Very abundant (>100)
Baetis scambus	None	Abundant (51-100)
Smaller differences include:	NRA	SWWSL
Theodoxus fluviatilis	14	None
Pisidium sp	133	Common (11-50)
Baetis vernus	None	Common (11-50)
Ecdyonurus sp	17	None
Calopteryx splendens	None	Common (11-50)
Sigara dorsalis	None	Common (11-50)
Elmis aenea	42	Occasional (4-10)
Limnius volckmari	40	Occasional (4-10)
Hydropsyche contubernalis	5 0	Occasional (4-10)
Brachycentrus subnubilus	119	Common (11-50)

(ii) Nunford Dairy

The two sampling sites are about 100 m apart. The summer BMWP is approximately the same for both samples, with a slightly higher ASPT for the NRA sample. In the autumn there was a higher BMWP and ASPT recorded by SWWSL.

In the summer samples, the following main differences in invertebrates recorded occur:

	NRA	SWWSL
Theodoxus fluviatilis	11	Very abundant (>100)
Bithynia tentaculata	11	Very abundant (>100)
Sphaerium sp	None	Abundant (50-100)
Pisidium sp	44	None
Gammarus pulex	368	Common (11-50)
Ephemerella ignita	392	Occasional (4-10)
Leuctra geniculata	78	Rare (1-3)
Calopteryx splendens	None	Common (11-30)
Gerris lacustris	None	Common (11-50)
Sigara dorsalis	None	Common (11-50)
Cheumatopsyche lepida	67	None
Hydropsyche angustipennis	33	None
Hydropsyche contubernalis	122	Occasional (4-10)
Hydropsyche pellucidula	None	Common (11-50)
Athripsodes	22	None
Simulium equinum	None	Very abundant (>100)
Chironomidae	None	Abundant (51-100)

Smaller differences include:

	NRA	SWWSL
Glossiphonia complanata	2	Common (11-50)
Hydracarina	None	Common (11-50)
Asellus aquaticus	None	Common (11-50)
Baetis buceratus	178	Common (11-50)
Elmis aenea	118	Common (11-50)
Limnius volckmari	97	Occasional (4-10)
Hydroptila sp	42	Occasional (4-10)
Brachycentrus subnubilus	29	Abundant (51-100)

The main differences in the autumn samples are:

	NRA	SWWSL
Potamopyrgus jenkinsi	219	None
Ancylus fluviatilis	39	None
Baetis rhodani	None	Abundant (51-100)
Baetis scambus sp	None	Abundant (51-100)
Aphelocheirus aestivalis	None	Abundnat (51-100)
Elmis aenea	94	None
Limnius volckmari	86	Rare (1-3)
Hydropsyche contubernalis	60	None
Chironomidae	2	Common (11-50)

Others are:

	NRA	SWWSL
Hydracarina	None	Common (11-50)
Ecdyonurus	12	None
Caerus luctuosa	1	None
Calopteryx splendens	None	Common (11-50)
Sigara dorsalis	None	Common (11-50)
Haliplus fluviatilis	None	Occasional (4-10)
Orectochilus villosus	None	Occasional (4-10)
Brachycentrus subnubilus	144	Common (11-50)

(iii) Higher Bruckland

The summer 1992 BMWP and ASPT are similar in both surveys, but the autumn BMWP/ ASPT is slightly higher in the NRA survey.

The summer survey results from the three minute kick sampling were very different for the following invertebrates:

	NRA	SWWSL
Oligochaeta	None	Abundant (51-100)
Hydracarina	None	Abundant
Simuliidae	2	Very abundant (>100)

Other discrepancies were as follows:

	NRA	SWWSL
Glossiphonia complanata	1	Common (11-50)
Baetis rhodani	3	Abundant (51-100)
Velia caprai	None	Common (11-50)
Dicranota	5	Common (11-50)
Chironomidae	61	Very abundant (>100)

The autumn results differed considerably for the following invertebrates:

		NRA	SWWSL
Potomopyrgus jenkinsi		None	Very abundant (>100)
Hydracarina		None	Common (11-50)
Dixa nubilipennis	4	None	Abundant (51-100)
Simulium equirium		None	Abundant (51-100)
Simulium ornatum		None	Very abundant (>100)

Other discrepancies include:

	NRA	SWWSL
Rhithrogena Ecdyonurus	10 32	None Rare (1-3)
Chironomid	3	Abundant (51-100)

In most of these cases the NRA samples appear to contain none of certain invertebrates present in the SWWSL samples at this point, but they did contain higher numbers of Rhithrogena sp and Ecdyonurus sp.

(iv) Lower Bruckland

The summer BMWP is slightly higher in the NRA sample, but the ASPT is slightly lower. In the autumn, the NRA BMWP is much higher at 188 as opposed to 118 for the SWWSL sample, but the ASPT is very similar.

The summer kick sample results for the Lower Bruckland Stream were very different for the following invertebrates:

	NRA	SWWSL
Potamopyrgus jenkinsi	None (but 157 in one cylinder sample)	Abundant (51-100)
Pisidium sp	2	Common (11-50)
Oligochaeta	4	Abundant (51-100)
Velia caprai	None	Common (11-50)
Oreodytes sanmarkii	None	Common (11-50)
Hydropsyche pellucidula	None	Common (11-50)
Chironomid	18	Very abundant (>100)

They also differed for the following:

	NRA	SWWSL
Baetis rhodani	35	Very abundant (>100)
Ephemerella ignita	69	Very abundant (>100)
Brachycentrus subnubilus	1	Common (11-50)

The autumn kick sample results show the following differences:

	NRA	SWWSL
Potamopyrgus jenkinsi	219	None
Ancylus fluviatilis	39	None
Baetis verus	None	Abundant (51-100)
Simulium equinum	None	Very abundant (>100)

Other notable differences include:

	NRA	SWWSL
Ecdyonurus sp	21	Rare (1-3)
Elmis aenea	237	Common (11-50)
Limnius volckmarii	90	Common (11-50)
Hydropsyche siltalai	146	Common (11-50)
Sericostoma personatum	33	Occasional (4-10)
Chironomid	140	Very abundant (>100)

Again, the NRA summer sample contained fewer representatives of the listed invertebrates, but greater numbers in the case of *Potamopyrgus jenkinsi* and *Ancylus fluviatilis*, *Ecdyonurus sp, Elmis aenea, Limnius volckmari, Hydropsyche siltalai*, and *Sericostoma personatum* in the autumn sample only.

3. CONCLUSIONS

- (a) The sampling strategy employed in the two surveys is not strictly comparable. In particular the locations of the sampling sites are different between the two surveys.
- (b) Despite the differing sampling strategy the total number of species recorded by the two surveys is similar.
- (c) There is a marked difference in the number of species recorded at Higher Bruckland which may be due to the NRA and SWWSL sample sites being 300 m apart, habitat differences and timing of the surveys.
- (d) A qualitative assessment of the species identified in the two surveys suggests a number of anomalies with apparent over and under emphasis of several species in each of the surveys.
- (e) The kick sample data indicate that more families were identified during the NRA survey than during the SWWSL survey. This difference can be ascribed to real differences, difference in sample location, differing sample times and errors in identification.
- (f) At Whitford Bridge the NRA BMWP scores are higher than SWWSL BMWP scores. The NRA score therefore indicates a better quality river than the SWWSL score. This difference may be an actual difference related to differing sample site locations or errors in identification. The ASPT scores for the two surveys are similar.
- (g) At Nunford Dairy the surveys report similar summer BMWP scores. The ASPT scores and the autumn BMWP scores differ. As for Whitford Bridge this may be due to actual differences due to differing sample locations or errors in identification.
- (h) Notwithstanding the observation in (c) above, at Higher Bruckland the two surveys produce similar scores for both BMWP and ASPT.
- (i) There are marked differences in the BMWP scores for the two surveys at Lower Bruckland. The ASPT scores are broadly similar.
- (j) The observed differences between BMWP and ASPT scores across surveys and between seasons cannot be tested statistically. However, the difference between surveys in any season, eg comparing summer NRA and SWWSL results, is typically less than the difference between seasons in any survey, eg comparing summer and autumn results for the NRA survey. The observed difference due to the effect of different surveys is less than the effect due to season.

4. RECOMMENDATIONS

- (a) The NRA and SWWSL surveys should not be considered to constitute a single, intercomparable data set.
- (b) The sampling locations for the long term baseline and monitoring surveys should coincide with the NRA locations discussed in this report. These locations to be chosen in preference to SWWSL's sites as the future monitoring is likely to be undertaken by the NRA.
- (c) The NRA data presented in this report should therefore constitute part of the baseline data set against which abstraction can be assessed.
- (d) The SWWSL survey sampled slightly different sample locations and should only be used for comparison purposes with the NRA survey.

